THE IMPACT OF EXTERNALLY EXHAUSTED BSCS ON AIRFLOW DYNAMICS

About The Author:

Dan Yoong is a biocontainment engineer with over 20 years of experience in the design and commissioning of BSL-2, BSL-3, and BSL-4 laboratories.

1. Introduction

Airflow management is a foundational element of laboratory and pharmacy facility design, and exhaust is often used as a critical tool to manage hazards. By exhausting more air than is supplied to a room, facilities can achieve negative pressure, which, when combined with low-leakage walls and ceilings, creates a controlled "containment" environment. Such rooms are designed to prevent the escape of potentially hazardous materials, which can include biological agents, chemicals, nanomaterials, cytotoxic substances, and radionuclides, to name a few. In many cases, additional protection for specific processes requires specialized equipment that provides enhanced containment through further airflow controls, often relying on exhaust as one of the key mechanisms.

Primary containment equipment, such as biosafety cabinets, fume hoods, or ventilated enclosures, delivers direct protection to personnel and the environment from these hazards, and these devices are available in a wide variety of designs tailored to different laboratory applications. Externally exhausted biosafety cabinets are one such example, where careful planning of airflow dynamics is critical to ensure that both the cabinet and the facility function safely and effectively.

This article is intended to help laboratory managers and pharmacy directors understand how externally exhausted biosafety cabinets influence facility airflow dynamics and what must be considered before, during, and after their installation. Importantly, more exhaust does not automatically make a facility safer—effective protection depends on deploying the right equipment for the appropriate hazards, optimizing room and equipment layout, and ensuring airflow strategies support containment objectives. Additionally, every piece of installed airflow equipment has both capital expenditure (CapEx) and operational expenditure (OpEx) implications; energy use, maintenance, and potential consequences of removal or redesign make it critical to get the design right the first time. By understanding these engineering control considerations, this article aims to give managers the confidence to select and implement the appropriate containment equipment while balancing safety, performance, and long-term operational impact.

Section Topics:

2. The Energy Cost of Total Exhaust

Biosafety cabinets are selected primarily to protect personnel, products, and the environment. In applications where volatile chemicals or vapors are present in sufficient quantity, risk assessments may determine that no recirculation is acceptable, requiring the use of Class II Type B2 cabinets with 100% exhaust. In such cases, the cabinet must be fully ducted to the building exhaust system to ensure that hazardous vapors are removed from the work environment.

However, this level of protection comes with a significant consequence: externally exhausted cabinets impose continuous airflow demands that translate directly into energy consumption, operating costs, and carbon emissions that are often underestimated during design and procurement.

From an airflow and energy standpoint, a Class II Type B2 biosafety cabinet behaves much more like a chemical fume hood than a recirculating biosafety cabinet. A typical 4-ft B2 cabinet exhausts approximately 1,200–1,500 m3/h of air continuously. Because this air is fully exhausted, an equal volume of outdoor air must be supplied, conditioned, and exhausted again. In effect, the cabinet operates as a permanent, dedicated outdoor-air system embedded within the laboratory. The HVAC energy implications of continuous exhaust airflow of this magnitude are well documented in laboratory ventilation literature and HVAC fundamentals references.[8,9]

For comparison, a Class II Type A2 cabinet connected to a canopy (thimble) exhaust system typically removes a significantly lower volume of air—often in the range of 400–800 m3/h depending on cabinet size and configuration. While this is lower than a B2 cabinet, it still represents a continuous exhaust load that must be offset by conditioned make-up air. As such, even "partial exhaust" solutions carry meaningful energy and operational implications, particularly when deployed at scale across a facility.

When operated continuously (24 hours per day, 365 days per year), a single 4-ft B2 cabinet exhausting approximately 1,250 m3/h can drive 18,000–30,000 kWh per year of HVAC energy consumption in temperate, non-tropical climates, where sensible heating and cooling dominate. In warm and humid climates, where dehumidification (latent load) becomes significant, this energy demand can increase substantially—often reaching 100,000–150,000 kWh per year—as described in standard HVAC heat and moisture balance methodologies.[8] These values represent HVAC thermal energy only and exclude exhaust fan power and system inefficiencies.

The associated carbon footprint is equally non-trivial. Using conservative electricity grid emission factors published by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the International Energy Agency (IEA)—typically 0.38–0.45 kg CO_2 per kWh for fossil-fuel-dominant grids[1,2]—a continuously operated 4-ft B2 cabinet may be responsible for approximately 8–15 metric tons of CO_2 per year in temperate climates and 40–60 metric tons of CO_2 per year in humid tropical climates. These emissions are indirect but real, appearing in a facility's Scope 2 carbon inventory.

To put these figures into more intuitive terms, 8–15 metric tons of CO_2 per year is comparable to the annual emissions from two to three average passenger vehicles. Based on passenger vehicle emission factors published by the U.S. EPA and the European Environment Agency (EEA)—approximately 0.18–0.25 kg CO_2 per kilometer driven depending on vehicle type and fleet mix[3,4]—this corresponds to roughly 50,000–80,000 km driven per vehicle per year, or 110–220 km per day, every day. In humid climates, the higher end of the B2 carbon footprint can be comparable to the annual emissions of ten or more passenger vehicles driven at similar continuous rates.

The operating cost associated with this energy use depends on local electricity tariffs. In the United States, commercial and institutional electricity prices published by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) typically fall in the range of USD 0.12–0.18 per kWh, depending on state and utility.[5] In Singapore, published tariffs from the Energy Market Authority (EMA) and SP Group indicate significantly higher electricity costs, often in the range of USD 0.22–0.30 per kWh, reflecting fuel costs and market structure.[6,7] As a result, identical cabinet configurations can have markedly different operating costs depending on location.

This highlights the importance of treating externally exhausted biosafety cabinets as major airflow and energy devices during facility planning. When total exhaust is truly required by the application, the resulting energy, cost, and carbon implications should be anticipated and deliberately designed for. In managing biohazards, standalone biosafety cabinet types can safely meet process requirements. Understanding these differences enables laboratories to balance biosafety, operational cost, and long-term sustainability more effectively.

3. Perceived Safety is not Safety

For work involving biological hazards only, the fundamental biosafety objective is to contain contamination at the point of generation, rather than to rely on dilution or removal after release. This principle is clearly reflected in the Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories (BMBL), published by the U.S. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). The BMBL identifies Class II biosafety cabinets—including Types A2, B1, and B2—as appropriate primary containment devices for BSL-1, BSL-2, and BSL-3 biological work, emphasizing that personnel and environmental protection is achieved through controlled airflow and verified HEPA filtration, not by the destination of exhaust air. When biological aerosols are effectively captured and filtered within the cabinet, exhausting air to the outdoors does not inherently increase biosafety for biological applications.[10]

It is important to distinguish between particulate hazards, which can be effectively captured by HEPA filtration, and gases or vapors, which cannot. HEPA filters are highly efficient for biological aerosols but do not remove volatile chemical contaminants, which must be controlled through exhaust or other treatment methods.

From a biosafety standpoint, exhausting air out of the building does not make a cabinet inherently safer for biological work. In fact, the core biosafety philosophy is not to rely on dilution or removal after contamination has occurred, but to prevent release in the first place. This is why Class II Type A2 cabinets—despite returning HEPA-filtered air to the laboratory—are recognized as appropriate primary containment devices for biological work when properly installed, maintained, and certified.

The perception that an externally exhausted cabinet is "safer" often stems from a single concern: what if the HEPA filter leaks? While intuitively appealing, this argument misunderstands how biosafety is managed in practice. Modern Class II cabinets are engineered so that potentially contaminated plenums are maintained under negative pressure relative to the room, and—critically—the integrity of HEPA filters is not assumed, but verified. Routine field certification includes aerosol challenge and scan testing specifically intended to detect filter or seal leakage. A cabinet with a compromised HEPA filter is unsafe regardless of whether it exhausts to the room or to the outdoors.

In other words, safety comes from verified containment, not from the destination of exhaust air. Relying on total exhaust "just in case" a HEPA filter fails shifts focus away from the real control measure: proper installation, regular testing, and ongoing certification by qualified personnel. When these fundamentals are in place, a Class II Type A2 cabinet provides the same level of biological containment as Type B cabinets for biological hazards—without the significant energy, cost, and carbon penalties associated with total exhaust. True safety lies in ensuring the lock works—and checking it regularly, not in compensating for its imagined failure.

4. When is a Ducted Biosafety Cabinet Necessary?

Externally ducted biosafety cabinets play a critical role in laboratory safety—but they are not universally required, and they are not interchangeable solutions for every perceived risk. The decision to duct a biosafety cabinet should be driven by the nature of the hazard, not by a general desire to "add more exhaust to make it safer." As discussed earlier, HEPA filtration is highly effective for mitigating particulate biological hazards and non-ducted Class II Type A2 cabinets are recognized as appropriate primary containment when they are properly installed, maintained, and certified. Ducted configurations become necessary only when hazards exist (i.e. gases and vapors) that HEPA filtration alone cannot adequately control.

HEPA filters are designed to capture particulate matter, including biological aerosols and radioactive particles. They are not designed to remove gases, vapors, or volatile compounds. Ducted biosafety cabinets are therefore justified in applications involving hazards that are non-particulate, volatile gases and vapors, or persistent, such as:

- Volatile toxic chemicals (e.g., formaldehyde, organic solvents)
- Hazardous drug preparation involving volatile components
- Radioiodine or other volatile radionuclides
- Strong odors that are unacceptable in the laboratory environment
- Processes generating mixed hazards (biological + chemical)

In these cases, exhausting air away from the laboratory—sometimes with additional treatment—is a functional requirement, not a perception of added safety.

Exhaust Requirements

Note: The % split is a widely accepted standardized ratio; the actual flow (CMH) depends on model size and manufacturer data.

BSC Type	Typical Cabinet Airflow Description	What the Building Must Provide (Practical Planning)	Order-Of-Magnitude External Exhaust
Class II A2	~70% recirc / ~30% exhaust	Low external exhaust (often canopy/thimble, or none if room-recirc)	~400-1400 CMH (varies by model and width of BSC)
Class II B1	Often described as ~70% exhaust / ~30% recirc inside cabinet; however, the building exhaust volume required is model- and application-specific and is frequently closer to A2-scale than B2-scale	External exhaust requirement typically "A2-like" order, not B2-like (project-dependent)	~500-800 CMH (verify per model/ submittal)
Class II B2	100% exhaust	High external exhaust (hard-ducted; dedicated fan)	~1,200-2000 CMH

Comparison of Cabinet Approaches

Cabinet / Setup	Primary Hazard Addressed	HEPA Only?	External Exhaust	Typical Use Case
Class II A2	Biological aerosols	✔	–	Routine microbiology, cell culture
A2 + Thimble (Canopy)	Bio + odors / trace vapors	✔ + adsorption	✔✔ (indirect)	Odor abatement, trace gases and vapors, hazardous drug prep
Class II B1	Bio + limited chemicals	✔	✔ (direct)	Small amounts of gases and vapors
Class II B2	Bio + volatile chemicals	✔	✔✔ (100% exhaust)	Significant volatile chemical use
Cytotoxic cabinet (specialty)	Potent drugs	✔ (multi-stage)	✔	Oncology pharmacy
Custom exhaust / BIBO	Radionuclides	✔ (sealed)	✔	Radiopharmaceuticals
Robotic / enclosed systems	Automated processes	Case-specific	Case-specific	High-throughput screening

Actual airflow and exhaust volumes are model- and application-specific and must be taken from manufacturer data and certification documentation.

Alternatives to "Defaulting" to a B2

Even when ducting is required, a B2 cabinet is not always the most appropriate or energy-responsible solution.

- A2 cabinets with canopy (thimble) connections can be used where the goal is odor control or trace vapor removal, while preserving the cabinet's recirculating airflow balance and avoiding the energy penalty of total exhaust.
- Class II B1 cabinets are commonly applied in hazardous drug preparation, where some chemical exhaust is required but total exhaust is not. In many facilities, their building exhaust demand is closer to A2-scale than B2-scale, making them a lower-energy alternative when appropriate.
- Specialized cytotoxic cabinets often incorporate additional HEPA stages, sealed plenums, or downflow capture at the work surface, addressing risk at the source rather than relying solely on high exhaust volumes.
- Custom-engineered solutions, such as bag-in/bag-out (BIBO) exhaust systems for radioactive particulates, are designed to safely manage hazards that standard cabinets cannot address.

In advanced laboratories, robotic and automated equipment (e.g., microplate handlers) introduces another layer of complexity. The size, speed, and motion of automated components can create turbulence that affects containment and cross-contamination control. In these cases, additional qualification—and in some instances computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis—is warranted to ensure that airflow patterns remain stable and containment performance is maintained.

Every step toward increased exhaust volume carries an energy and infrastructure penalty. As shown earlier, total-exhaust cabinets can impose fume-hood-scale HVAC loads, with long-term implications for operating cost and carbon footprint. These impacts do not make ducted cabinets "wrong"—but they make unexamined choices expensive.

The correct question is not "How much air can we exhaust?" but rather:

"What hazard is being generated as identified through a risk assessment, and how do we control it at the source?"

That determination should be made by an experienced and qualified scientific safety officer, in collaboration with biosafety, industrial hygiene, and engineering teams. Once the hazard is clearly defined, the design engineer's role is to:

- quantify airflow and energy impacts,
- advise on lower-energy configurations where feasible,
- and evaluate whether energy recovery or optimization strategies can be applied without compromising safety.

For existing facilities, the introduction of any new ducted equipment should trigger a review of room pressurization, adjacent space relationships, and overall airflow balance. In many cases, rebalancing or localized modifications are required to maintain containment and pressure differentials as originally intended.

5. Conclusion

Externally exhausted biosafety cabinets play a critical role in protecting personnel, products, and the environment in laboratories and pharmacies. However, they are not standalone devices. Their exhaust requirements directly influence facility airflow dynamics, pressurization strategies, HVAC system capacity, and operating costs.

By understanding how airflow is controlled, recognizing applications that require external exhaust, and carefully evaluating how different classes of BSCs interact with HVAC systems, laboratory managers and pharmacy directors can make informed decisions that support both safety and operational efficiency.

Ultimately, successful integration of externally exhausted biosafety cabinets depends on early planning, accurate data, and close collaboration between facility stakeholders, engineers, and manufacturers—ensuring that safety objectives are met without compromising airflow stability or long-term performance.

References:

1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)
https://www.epa.gov/egrid

2. International Energy Agency (IEA), Emissions Factors
https://www.iea.org/reports/electricity-2026/emissions

3. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Greenhouse Gas Emissions from a Typical Passenger Vehicle
https://www.epa.gov/greenvehicles/greenhouse-gas-emissions-typical-passenger-vehicle

4. European Environment Agency (EEA), CO_2 Emission Performance Standards for Cars
https://www.eea.europa.eu/en/topics/in-depth/co2-emission-performance-standards-for-cars

5. U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), Electricity Prices
https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/browser/

6. Singapore Energy Market Authority (EMA), Electricity Tariffs
https://www.ema.gov.sg/consumer-information/electricity

7. SP Group Singapore, Electricity Tariff Information
https://www.spgroup.com.sg/our-services/utilities/tariff-information

8. ASHRAE, ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals
https://www.ashrae.org/technical-resources/ashrae-handbook

9. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), Labs21 / High-Performance Laboratories
https://www.altensis.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/High_Performance_Laboratories_2011.pdf

10. CDC & NIH – Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories (BMBL), 6th Edition
https://www.cdc.gov/labs/BMBL.html

Further Reading:

1. NSF/ANSI 49-2022: Biosafety Cabinetry: Design, Construction, Performance, and Field Certification

2. USP 797: Pharmaceutical Compounding – Sterile Preparations

3. USP 800: Hazardous Drugs – Handling in Healthcare Settings